

INFLUENCE OF A NEW-QUINOLONE ANTIBACTERIAL AGENT, TEMAFLOXACIN, ON NORMAL HUMAN INTESTINAL MICROFLORA

Junko Watabe, Jun Mizutani, Hiromi Suzuki and Koichi Wada
Intestinal Flora Laboratory, Calpis Food Industry Co. Ltd.
11-10, 5-chome, Fuchinobe, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229, Japan

Kunitomo Watanabe and Kazue Ueno
Institute of Anaerobic Bacteriology, School of Medicine, University of Gifu,

The effect of temafloxacin (TMFX), a newly synthesized quinolone antibacterial agent, given orally for 7 days to five healthy male volunteers, on intestinal microflora was investigated. Faecal specimens were cultured quantitatively for aerobic and anaerobic bacteria before, during and after administration.

Marked suppressions of Enterobacteriaceae and *Streptococcus*, including *Enterococcus*, were observed during TMFX administration. The anaerobic bacteria, *Bacteroides*, *Eubacterium*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Veillonella* and Gram-positive cocci were also reduced by TMFX administration in most subjects. Lecithinase-positive *Clostridium* was eliminated, though, lecithinase-negative *Clostridium* was not affected. Recovery of the levels and composition of the intestinal microflora was not delayed.

Key words: Intestinal flora; Quinolone; Temafloxacin

Introduction

Temafloxacin (TMFX) (Abbott Laboratories, USA) is a newly developed quinolone antibacterial agent, which has good activity against a broad-spectrum of microorganisms including anaerobic bacteria^{4,6,8)}. Therapy with broad spectrum antimicrobial agents induces changes in the intestinal flora²⁾. These changes in the faecal microflora may induce diarrhea, overgrowth of *Clostridium difficile*^{1,7)} and fungi, and impairment of colonization resistance in the digestive tract⁹⁾.

The present study was performed to investigate the influence of TMFX on intestinal microflora in healthy male volunteers under steady state conditions.

Materials and Methods

1. Subjects

Five healthy male volunteers, aged 30~43 years, were studied. None of the volunteers had taken any antimicrobial drugs or experienced gastrointestinal disorders for at least 1 month before the study. Written informed consent was obtained and the

volunteers were admitted to the clinical study units during the period of TMFX use.

2. Treatments

A dose of 300 mg of TMFX was given orally to five subjects followed by subsequent doses of 300 mg at 12 h intervals for 7 days.

3. Microbiological studies

Faecal samples were collected before administration of TMFX, on the fourth day of treatment and then on one day (day 8), one week (day 14), 2 weeks (day 21) and 4 weeks (day 35) after cessation of treatment. The faecal samples were put into Gaspak pouches (BBL, Microbiology Systems, Cockeysville, Md. USA) and transported to the laboratory immediately after defecation into sterile plastic containers. One gram of stool was transferred to 9 ml of prereduced phosphate buffered saline with 0.1 per cent agar, serial 10-fold dilutions were made and 0.05 ml volumes were seeded on various media for detection of intestinal bacteria. The media for detection of intestinal bacteria and the incubation method have been described previously¹⁰⁾. Non-selective media for total

aerobes and total bacteria, and selective media for Enterobacteriaceae, *Enterococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Staphylococcus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Candida albicans*, *Lactobacillus*, yeast, lecithinase positive *Clostridium*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Eubacterium*, *Bacteroides*, *Bacteroides fragilis* group, *Veillonella*, *C. difficile* and *Fusobacterium* were used. Selective media and non selective medium for total aerobes were inoculated with 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} and 10^{-7} dilution of the faecal samples. Non selective media for total bacteria were inoculated with 10^{-6} , 10^{-7} and 10^{-8} dilution. Plates were inoculated at 37°C for 24~48 h for aerobic cultures or for 48~72 h in anaerobic jars for anaerobic cultures.

Different colonies on selective and non-selective media were counted and identified to genus level by Gram stain characteristics and cell morphology. Lecithinase-positive strains on Negler Neomycin agar¹⁰ were identified with *Clostridium perfringens* differentiation strip (Nissui Seiyaku, Tokyo, Japan). Different colonies on selective media for Enterobacteriaceae, *Enterococcus* and *Bacteroides* were counted and identified to species level biochemically with Biotest No. 1 (Eiken Kagaku, Tokyo, Japan), API STREPT (Analytab Product, La Balme Les Grottes, France) and API 20A (Analytab product), respectively.

Results

Microbiological changes in the faeces of each volunteer during antibacterial agent use and after treatment are listed in Table 1-1, 1-2. In general, total aerobes were eliminated during treatment and one day after cessation of treatment. Total anaerobes were suppressed on the fourth day of treatment in 3 of 5 volunteers and returned to the pretreatment levels one day after treatment. The levels of Enterobacteriaceae and *Enterococcus* decreased markedly during administration of TMFX and had returned to pretreatment levels by 7 days after treatment. In subject C, 2.3 logs of *P. aeruginosa* was detectable 7 days after treatment. The numbers of *Bacteroides* decreased on the fourth day of treatment in all but subject A. In subject A, the viable count of *Bacteroides*, especially the *B. fragilis* group, increased on the fourth day of treatment. The administration of TMFX induced decreases in Enterobacteriaceae, *Streptococcus* and *Enterococcus*, and also caused a decrease in

anaerobes including the *B. fragilis* group, *Eubacterium*, *Bifidobacterium* and Gram-positive cocci. However, the levels of total bacteria and total aerobes returned to the same level as before administration one day and 7 days after treatment, respectively. Other predominant anaerobic bacteria, *Eubacterium*, Gram-positive anaerobic cocci and *Bifidobacterium* were eliminated during antibacterial agent intakes in all subjects. In subject A, *Eubacterium* and *Bifidobacterium* decreased markedly, and in subject B, *Eubacterium* and Gram-positive anaerobic cocci decreased, and in subject C, Gram-positive anaerobic cocci decreased. *Veillonella* also decreased on the fourth day of treatment, but increased from 7 days to 28 days after treatment in all subjects. Lecithinase positive *Clostridium* was detected in faeces of 3 subjects before treatment and the levels of these organisms were decreased below the lowest detectable level during and after treatment. However, lecithinase negative *Clostridium* was not affected by TMFX administration. The major anaerobic bacteria had returned to pretreatment levels by one day after treatment.

TMFX eliminated *Escherichia coli* that was detected in faeces of most subjects before treatment and enumerated Enterobacteriaceae other than *E. coli* by 7 days after the last dose of TMFX. *E. coli* had returned to the pretreatment levels by 14 days after treatment (Table 2). During the TMFX administration period, the level of *Enterococcus* decreased markedly and had returned to normal by one week after cessation of treatment. There was no significant increase in *Enterococcus* (Table 3). The composition of *Bacteroides* species in the faeces of each subject varied during the period of this study.

B. ruminicola was detected dominantly in faeces of subjects B, D and E before treatment and decreased markedly during TMFX use (data not shown).

C. difficile was not recovered from the faeces of any of the volunteers.

Discussion

Intestinal flora can be influenced by antimicrobial agents, especially by drugs which are excreted extensively in the bile. The altered gastrointestinal microflora may permit potentially invasive pathogens to overgrow⁵ or lead to a diminution in colonization resistance to exogenous microorganisms⁹. TMFX has

been reported to be excreted mainly in the urine^{3,8)}. However, the concentrations of TMFX in faeces might be sufficient to affect both aerobes and anaerobes in the intestine. None of volunteers complained of abdominal pain, nausea or diarrhoea.

In vitro, TMFX was more active against the *B. fragilis* group than other new quinolone agents such as ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin and enoxacin⁶⁾. With *in vivo* human testing, TMFX decreased the level of

Bacteroides more markedly than did sparfloxacin¹⁰⁾. Although the antibacterial activity of TMFX against faecal aerobes was almost same as those of other quinolone drugs, the levels of faecal anaerobes, especially the *B. fragilis* group, decreased during TMFX use and recovered promptly after administration. Thus, TMFX administration might not evoke side reactions such as diarrhoea.

Taken together, these results show that TMFX is

Table 1-1. Counts* of microorganisms in faecal flora of subjects A, B and C receiving 300 mg of temafloxacin orally at 12 h intervals for 7 days

Bacteria	Subject A						Subject B					Subject C							
	before	during	after				before	during	after			before	during	after					
		day 4	day 8	day 14	day 21	day 35		day 4	day 8	day 14	day 21	day 35		day 4	day 8	day 14	day 21	day 35	
Enterobacteriaceae	8.0	—	—	—	8.8	9.0	7.1	—	—	8.0	9.1	7.4	8.0	—	—	—	8.7	8.1	8.0
<i>Streptococcus</i>	8.0	—	3.6	7.1	—	4.4	2.3	—	—	7.4	8.1	7.9	—	—	—	6.6	4.9	6.6	—
<i>Enterococcus</i>	5.9	—	—	6.9	7.8	3.4	5.6	—	—	7.5	7.6	—	8.0	—	—	7.0	6.3	6.6	—
<i>Staphylococcus</i>	3.8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.3	—	—	2.6	2.3	—	—	—	2.8
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.3	—	—	—
<i>Candida albicans</i>	3.2	—	—	3.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Lactobacillus</i>	—	—	—	5.0	2.6	2.9	7.6	—	—	6.2	3.7	3.9	6.0	4.1	3.8	6.1	5.8	6.8	—
<i>Bacteroides</i>	10.2	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.5	10.6	10.8	9.9	10.7	10.8	10.8	10.6	10.5	10.1	10.1	10.3	10.3	10.1	—
<i>B. fragilis</i> group	9.7	10.4	10.5	10.3	10.3	10.6	10.7	9.4	10.5	10.0	10.6	10.2	10.3	9.8	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.9	—
<i>Fusobacterium</i>	8.2	5.9	8.3	8.7	8.6	8.5	—	—	—	5.3	6.5	3.5	8.1	5.3	9.9	—	7.3	7.0	—
<i>Eubacterium</i>	9.5	—	—	9.6	9.8	9.7	9.8	—	9.5	9.3	10.0	9.5	10.3	9.8	8.9	9.1	9.2	9.3	—
Anaerobic Gram-positive cocci	9.2	7.1	9.4	8.9	8.6	9.1	9.6	—	9.6	9.6	10.0	9.4	7.9	—	8.6	9.3	8.3	8.1	—
<i>Bifidobacterium</i>	10.1	2.8	9.5	9.6	10.2	9.9	10.5	7.6	8.8	9.4	9.7	9.2	10.4	7.9	9.3	10.1	9.8	10.0	—
<i>Veillonella</i>	—	—	—	7.3	8.1	7.1	4.4	—	2.3	8.3	7.7	9.1	5.4	2.9	6.6	8.9	7.8	9.3	—
<i>Megasphaera</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Clostridium</i>	6.6	7.3	6.3	9.4	7.6	7.9	9.3	8.6	9.6	9.4	9.3	8.6	8.2	9.9	7.0	8.3	8.8	9.1	—
<i>C. difficile</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>C. perfringens</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total bacteria	10.5	10.6	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.8	11.1	9.9	10.8	10.8	10.9	10.7	10.9	10.4	10.2	10.6	10.5	10.5	—

* Counts are expressed as log₁₀ per gram wet faeces.
 —: no organisms detected (counts of <2 log₁₀ per gram).

Table 1-2. Counts* of microorganisms in faecal flora of subjects D and E receiving 300 mg of temafloxacin orally at 12 h intervals for 7 days

Bacteria	Subject D						Subject E					
	before	during	after				before	during	after			
		day 4	day 8	day 14	day 21	day 35		day 4	day 8	day 14	day 21	day 35
Enterobacteriaceae	8.8	—	—	7.0	6.8	7.4	7.3	—	—	5.0	7.3	5.5
<i>Streptococcus</i>	7.6	—	—	6.8	6.6	7.0	—	—	—	6.9	6.8	—
<i>Enterococcus</i>	6.7	—	—	7.4	4.8	3.1	8.3	—	—	6.8	6.2	7.5
<i>Staphylococcus</i>	3.2	—	3.2	—	—	4.0	2.3	—	2.6	2.9	3.3	—
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Candida albicans</i>	—	—	—	—	—	5.9	—	3.7	—	2.3	—	—
<i>Lactobacillus</i>	6.5	6.6	5.3	6.2	6.8	6.1	5.1	2.3	4.3	7.6	8.0	7.6
<i>Bacteroides</i>	10.4	9.9	10.7	10.5	10.7	10.6	10.2	10.3	10.2	10.3	10.6	10.7
<i>B. fragilis</i> group	10.2	9.3	10.3	10.2	10.1	10.2	10.2	9.7	9.1	9.2	9.1	9.7
<i>Fusobacterium</i>	—	2.9	3.7	2.6	—	—	8.8	9.2	9.2	8.1	9.2	7.8
<i>Eubacterium</i>	9.9	8.6	10.0	10.2	10.1	10.2	9.6	7.8	7.3	9.8	9.6	10.1
Anaerobic Gram-positive cocci	9.6	8.6	9.6	9.8	9.7	9.7	9.2	9.8	8.4	9.4	10.1	9.3
<i>Bifidobacterium</i>	10.0	7.3	9.6	10.3	10.3	10.3	9.6	9.3	9.7	9.9	10.1	10.1
<i>Veillonella</i>	6.5	—	5.3	7.3	7.1	7.4	3.8	—	5.6	9.3	9.3	5.5
<i>Megasphaera</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.3	9.2	—	—	—	—
<i>Clostridium</i>	9.1	9.4	9.8	9.4	9.8	9.8	8.8	9.7	9.5	9.7	10.2	9.1
<i>C. difficile</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>C. perfringens</i>	7.4	—	—	—	—	—	3.8	—	—	5.6	—	—
Total bacteria	10.7	10.0	10.8	10.9	11.0	11.0	10.4	10.5	10.4	10.7	10.9	10.9

* Counts are expressed as log₁₀ per gram wet faeces.
 —: no organisms detected (counts of <2 log₁₀ per gram).

Table 2. Levels* of Enterobacteriaceae in faeces of volunteers receiving 300 mg of temafloxacin orally at 12 h intervals for 7 days

Subject	Bacteria	Before	After				
			During day 4	day 8	day 14	day 21	day 35
A	<i>E. coli</i>	7.8	—	—	—	8.7	9.0
	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	—	—	—	7.1	—	—
	<i>C. freundii</i>	—	—	—	8.7	—	6.9
	<i>H. alvei</i>	7.0	—	—	7.5	—	—
	<i>P. mirabilis</i>	—	—	—	—	6.9	—
B	<i>E. coli</i>	7.1	—	—	—	8.6	—
	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	4.8	—	—	5.9	7.4	5.1
	<i>C. freundii</i>	—	—	—	7.8	8.9	6.5
	<i>S. odorifera</i>	—	—	—	7.6	—	—
	<i>M. morgani</i>	—	—	—	—	7.7	—
	<i>E. cloacae</i>	—	—	—	—	—	5.7
	<i>E. aerogenes</i>	—	—	—	—	—	7.0
C	<i>E. coli</i>	—	—	—	—	7.7	7.3
	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	6.6	—	—	7.0	6.3	6.8
	<i>C. freundii</i>	7.3	—	—	8.6	8.0	6.8
	<i>E. cloacae</i>	6.6	—	—	—	—	6.9
	<i>E. agglomerans</i>	6.3	—	—	—	—	—
	<i>Salmonella</i> sp.	—	—	—	6.3	—	—
	Gram-negative rod	—	—	—	—	—	6.3
D	<i>E. coli</i>	8.0	—	—	—	6.3	6.9
	<i>C. freundii</i>	—	—	—	6.2	6.3	—
	<i>H. alvei</i>	—	—	—	6.2	—	—
	<i>E. cloacae</i>	—	—	—	5.8	—	4.3
	Gram-negative rod	—	—	—	—	—	4.3
E	<i>E. coli</i>	6.6	—	—	4.3	6.9	4.8
	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	—	—	—	2.6	6.6	—
	<i>C. freundii</i>	—	—	—	4.8	5.1	4.8
	<i>E. cloacae</i>	—	—	—	4.3	—	5.3
	<i>E. agglomerans</i>	4.3	—	—	—	—	—

* Counts of different colonies on Enterobacteriaceae selective medium (DHL) expressed as \log_{10} per gram wet faeces.
—: no organisms detected (counts of $<2 \log_{10}$ per gram).

Table 3. Levels* of *Enterococcus* spp. in faeces of volunteers receiving 300 mg of temafloxacin orally at 12 h intervals for 7 days

Subject	Bacteria	Before	After				
			During day 4	day 8	day 14	day 21	day 35
A	<i>E. faecium</i>	5.9	—	—	—	—	—
	<i>E. faecalis</i>	—	—	—	6.9	7.8	3.2
	<i>E. gallinarum</i>	—	—	—	7.1	—	—
	<i>E. durans</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—
B	<i>E. faecium</i>	5.6	—	—	—	7.6	—
	<i>E. gallinarum</i>	—	—	—	7.5	—	—
C	<i>E. faecium</i>	8.0	—	—	6.6	6.3	6.6
	<i>E. faecalis</i>	—	—	—	6.3	5.5	—
	<i>E. durans</i>	—	—	—	6.6	—	—
D	<i>E. faecalis</i>	6.7	—	—	7.1	4.8	3.1
	<i>E. durans</i>	—	—	—	7.0	—	—
E	<i>E. faecium</i>	8.3	—	—	—	—	7.5
	<i>E. faecalis</i>	—	—	—	6.9	5.7	—
	<i>E. durans</i>	—	—	—	5.0	—	—
	<i>E. gallinarum</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—

* Counts of different colonies on enterococci selective medium expressed as \log_{10} per gram wet faeces.
—: no organisms detected (counts of $<2 \log_{10}$ per gram).

active against aerobic and anaerobic Gram-negative rods, *Enterococcus* and anaerobic Gram-positive cocci. Microbial levels were almost normal within one week after cessation of administration.

References

- 1) Fekety R, Kim KH, Batts DH, Browne RA, Cudmore MA, Silva J Jr, Toshniwal R and Wilson KH: Studies on the epidemiology of antibiotic-associated *Clostridium difficile* colitis. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 33: 2527 ~ 2532, 1980
- 2) Finegold SM: Interaction of antimicrobial therapy and intestinal flora. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 23: 1466 ~ 1471, 1970
- 3) Granneman GR, Carpentier P, Morrison PJ and Pernet AG: Pharmacokinetics of temafloxacin in humans after single oral doses. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 35: 436 ~ 441, 1991
- 4) Hardy DJ, Swanson RN, Hensey DM, Ramer NR, Bower RR, Hanson CW, Chu DTW and Fernandes PB: Comparative antibacterial activities of temafloxacin hydrochloride (A-62254) and two reference fluoroquinolones. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 31: 1768 ~ 1774, 1987
- 5) Hentges DJ: Enteric pathogen-normal flora interactions. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 23: 1451 ~ 1456, 1970
- 6) King A, Bethune L and Philips I: The *in-vitro* activity of temafloxacin compared with other antimicrobial agents. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 27: 769 ~ 779, 1991
- 7) Mulligan ME, Citron D, Gabay E, Kirby BD, George WL and Finegold SM: Alterations in human fecal flora, including ingrowth of *Clostridium difficile*, related to cefoxitin therapy. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 26: 343 ~ 346, 1984
- 8) Nye K, Shi YG, Andrews JM, Ashby JP and Wise R: The *in-vitro* activity, pharmacokinetics and tissue penetration of temafloxacin. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 24: 415 ~ 424, 1989
- 9) Yu VL: Enterococcal superinfection and colonization after therapy with moxalactam, a new broad-spectrum antibiotic. Annals of Internal Medicine 94: 784 ~ 785, 1981
- 10) Watabe J, Mizutani J, Suzuki K, Hayakawa K, Nakashima M, Kanamaru K, Watanabe K and Ueno K: Effects of a new pyridone carboxylic acid antibacterial agent, sparfloxacin, on normal human intestinal microflora. Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease 4: 285 ~ 291, 1991

新しいニューキノロン系抗菌剤,
temafloxacinのヒト腸内細菌叢に及ぼす影響

渡部恂子・水谷 潤・鈴木宏美・和田光一
カルピス食品工業株式会社腸内フローララボラトリー*

渡辺邦友・上野一恵
岐阜大学医学部附属嫌気性菌実験施設

新しく合成されたキノロン系抗菌剤であるtemafloxacinを5人の健常成人男子に経口で7日間投与し、腸内細菌叢への影響を調べた。投与前、投与中および投与終了後の糞便サンプルを培養し、糞便中の好気性菌及び嫌気性菌の菌数を測定したところ、投与期間中、大腸菌群および腸球菌の著しい減少が観察された。バクテロイデス、ビフィドバクテリウムおよびユウバクテリウム等の腸内優勢嫌気性菌も、投与期間中多くの被験者で減少した。レシチナーゼ陽性クロストリジウムも減少したが、レシチナーゼ陰性クロストリジウムの菌数に変化はなかった。菌数および菌叢の構成は投与終了後すみやかに回復した。